## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

GARLON P. ELLIS,

Petitioner.

v. No. CV 08-0481 JB/CEG

ROBERT ULIBARRI, Warden, and GARY K. KING, Attorney General for the State of New Mexico

Respondents.

## ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S PROPOSED FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDED DISPOSITION

THIS MATTER comes before the Court on the Magistrate Judge's Proposed Findings and Recommended Disposition, filed November 10, 2008 (Doc. 24). The Proposed Findings and Recommended Disposition recommends that the Court deny Petitioner Garlon Ellis' Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus. See id. at 5. Specifically, the Magistrate Judge found that "the claims raised in Petitioner's application were decided on the merits and the state court decisions and record are entitled to deference in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 2254(e) and the AEDPA." On November 24, 2008, Ellis filed objections to the Proposed Findings and Recommended Disposition. See Doc. 25.

The Court has carefully reviewed Ellis' objections <u>de novo</u> and finds that they lack sufficient merit for the Court not to adopt the Magistrate Judge's Proposed Findings and Recommended Disposition. Ellis argues the state courts misapplied the ineffective assistance-of-counsel standard, particularly with respect to the prejudice prong of the <u>Strickland</u> test, and as such, this Court should not give deference to the state-court findings. The Court cannot conclude, however, that the

relevant state-court decisions applied clearly established federal law erroneously or incorrectly. The state courts' application of the <u>Strickland</u> standard was not unreasonable and is entitled to deference under § 2254(e), as Ellis has not overcome, by clear and convincing evidence, the presumption of correctness afforded state court factual findings. <u>See Williams v. Taylor</u>, 529 U.S. 362, 411 (2000); <u>Smith v. Mullin</u>, 379 F.3d 919, 924-925 (10th Cir. 2004); <u>Thomas v. Gibson</u>, 218 F.3d 1213, 1219-1220 (10th Cir. 2000); 28 U.S.C. § 2254(e).

IT IS ORDERED that: (i) the Magistrate Judge's Proposed Findings and Recommended Disposition are adopted; (ii) Garlon Ellis' Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (Doc. 1) and First Amended Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Doc. 19) are denied; and (iii) civil case number 08-0481 JB/CEG is dismissed.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Counsel:

David A. Streubel Streubel, Kochersberger & Mortimer, LLC Albuquerque, New Mexico

-- and --

Tommy K. Cryer Shreveport, LA

Attorneys for the Plaintiffs

Margaret E. McLean Santa Fe, New Mexico

Attorney for the Defendant